

# ON SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE ABSENCE OF PERIODIC TRAJECTORIES IN CONSERVATIVE SYSTEMS

(O DOSTATOCHNYKH USLOVIYAKH OTSUTSTVIYA PERIODICHESKIKH TRAEKTORII DLIYA KONSERVATIVNYKH SISTEM)

*PMM Vol. 30, No. 3, 1966, pp. 604-606*

I.M. BELEN'KII  
(Moscow)

*(Received December 15, 1965)*

The problem of determination of closed trajectories, and of the limiting cycles of mechanical systems presents great mathematical difficulties, because not only the local but also the general properties of the trajectories must be studied.

There are no general rules for finding periodic trajectories, but certain necessary conditions for the existence of such trajectories can be obtained from the Poincaré theory of indices [1]. The well known Whittaker [2] and Bendixon [3] criteria permit us to establish the regions in which the periodic trajectories can exist, application of these criteria is however difficult.

We are presenting here the conditions under which the existence of such trajectories is excluded.

Such conditions for autonomous systems, the so called 'negative criteria' [4], were found by Poincaré [1], Bendixon [3], and Dulac, [5]. Various generalizations of these criteria for autonomous systems are presented in [6 and 7].

The purpose of this note is to show the existence of a 'negative criterion' for conservative systems as well.

1. Let a point  $M$  of a mechanical system move in a conservative force field its potential being  $V(x, y)$ , with prescribed constant energy  $h$ . We shall write the differential equations of its trajectory, in the form [8]

$$y'' = (1 + y'^2) \left( -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} y' + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) \quad (\Phi = \ln \sqrt{2(h - V(x, y))}) \quad (1.1)$$

Introducing the angle  $\psi(x, y) = \tan^{-1} y'$  between the velocity vector  $v$  and the positive direction of the  $x$ -axis, we obtain the differential relation

$$d\psi(x, y) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} dx - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy \quad (1.2)$$

The behavior of the trajectory of our system will depend essentially on the distribution

and character of the singular points  $O_j$  of the function  $\Phi(x, y)$ . Let us introduce the concept of a 'quasi-index' of the singular point  $O_j$  as the limiting value of the integral

$$J_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \oint_{(\gamma_j)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} dx - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy \quad (1.3)$$

where the integration is performed along the contour  $(\gamma_j)$  of a circle of small radius  $r$ , with its center at  $O_j$ . For the ordinary point the quasi-index will be equal to zero, and it differs from the Poincaré index in, that the latter must be an integer, whereas the quasi-index of a singular point can be any real number.

Consider for example a force field generated by the centers of attraction  $O_j$  with potentials  $V_j = -A/r_j^n$ . It can be easily shown that the quasi-index of a singular point  $O_j$  equals  $J_j = \frac{1}{2}n$ .

2. Let us consider a region  $(D)$  where the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  has isolated singular points  $O_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ), while at the remaining points it is continuous, and has continuous partial derivatives of the first and second order.

Let our system undergo a periodic motion while remaining in the phase plane in the region  $(D)$ . Then, there exists in  $(D)$  a closed (without intersections) contour  $(C)$  along which the integral of the right-hand side of the Pfaff form (1.2), is

$$\oint_{(C)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} dx - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy = 2\pi \quad (2.1)$$

Let all the singular points  $O_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) of the function  $\Phi(x, y)$  which are in  $(D)$  be inside the contour  $(C)$ .

Let us change the line integral in the left-hand side of (2.1) into an area integral. To do this, we shall separate, inside the orbit  $(C)$ , the regions bounded by circles  $(\gamma_j)$  of small radii  $r$ , their centers coinciding with the singular points  $O_j$ . Considering the multiply connected region  $(\sigma^*)$  bounded by a complex contour  $(\Gamma) = (C) + (\gamma_1) + (\gamma_2) + \dots + (\gamma_k)$ , and using Green's theorem, we obtain

$$\oint_{(\Gamma)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} dx - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy = - \iint_{(\sigma^*)} \Delta \Phi dx dy \quad (2.2)$$

where  $(\sigma^*)$  is the region bounded by the contour  $(\Gamma)$ .

Letting now the radii  $r_k$  of the circles  $(\gamma_k)$  in the formula (2.2) to decrease and passing to the limit as  $r_k \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain, by (2.1) and (1.3)

$$- \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{(\sigma)} \Delta \Phi dx dy = 1 - J \quad (J = J_1 + \dots + J_k) \quad (2.3)$$

Here  $(\sigma)$  is the region bounded by the contour  $(C)$  and  $J$  is the sum of quasi-indices of the singular points  $O_j$  which are inside the contour  $(C)$ .

*Theorem.* Suppose that in our region the sum of quasi-indices  $J$  satisfies one of the following conditions

$$(a) \quad -\infty < J < 1, \quad (b) \quad J = 1, \quad (c) \quad 1 < J < +\infty \quad (2.4)$$

If, at the same time the function  $\Delta \Omega$  either has a constant sign or is equal to zero in the region  $(D)$ , then we have the following sign relations corresponding to the cases given in (2.4)

$$(a) \quad \Delta \Phi \geq 0, \quad (b) \quad \Delta \Phi > 0 (\Delta \Phi < 0), \quad (c) \quad \Delta \Phi \leq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

and this is a sufficient condition for the absence of closed trajectories in our region  $(D)$ . Consequently,  $\Phi(x, y)$  should belong in the case (a) to the class of subharmonic functions, in the case (c) to the class of superharmonic functions, while the case (b) can be expressed by the single condition  $\Delta \Phi \neq 0$ .

On the strength of (2.3) and (2.4) the sufficiency of the established criteria (2.5) is obvious.

3. Let us consider some examples which show, that existence of periodic trajectories in the regions, where the negative criteria (2.5) are not satisfied, is possible.

(1) In the force field with the logarithmic potential  $V = A \ln r$  point  $M$  can undergo periodic motions, moving around a circle  $(C)$  of an arbitrary radius  $r$  with its center at the origin of coordinates. Here

$$\Phi_x = \frac{-Ax}{2r^2(h - A \ln r)}, \quad \Phi_y = \frac{-Ay}{2r^2(h - A \ln r)}, \quad (\Phi = \ln \sqrt{2(h - A \ln r)})$$

hence the quasi-index of the singular point  $(r = 0)$  will be equal to

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \oint_{(C)} \frac{A(x dy - y dx)}{2r^2(h - A \ln r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{A}{2(h - A \ln r)} = 0$$

that is, we are considering the case (a). On the other hand the condition of the negative criterion  $\Delta \Phi > 0$  is satisfied, because in our case

$$\Delta \Phi = \frac{-A^2}{2r^2(h - A \ln r)^2} < 0$$

(2) As a second example let us consider the motion of a point  $M$  in a central force field with the potential  $V = -A / r^n$  ( $A, n > 0$ ).

From direct calculations we obtain

$$\Delta \Phi = \frac{Ahn^2r^{n-2}}{2(A + hr^n)^2} \quad (\Phi = \ln \sqrt{2(h + A/r^n)}) \quad (3.1)$$

consequently, when  $h = 0$  we have  $\Delta \Phi = 0$ , while when  $h \neq 0$  the sign of  $\Delta \Phi$  is the same as the sign of the constant energy  $h$ , that is  $\text{sign}(\Delta \Phi) = \text{sign}(h)$ . We shall consider now a periodic motion of the point  $M$  on a circle  $(C)$  of radius  $r$ , its center at the origin. From physical considerations it follows, that on the contour  $(C)$  the condition  $v^2 = An/r^n$  should be satisfied, and consequently on the strength of the energy integral we get

$$\frac{A(n-2)}{2r^n} = h \quad (3.2)$$

that is the sign of  $h$  will depend on the magnitude of the exponent  $n$  characterizing the potential of the force field. If we write the expansion of  $\Phi_x$  and  $\Phi_y$  in the neighborhood of zero  $(r = 0)$

$$\Phi_x = -\frac{nx}{r^2} + \dots, \quad \Phi_y = -\frac{ny}{r^2} + \dots$$

we can calculate the quasi-index of the singular point ( $r = 0$ )

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \oint_{(\gamma)} \frac{n(x dy - y dx)}{2r^2} = \frac{n}{2} \quad (3.3)$$

For different values of the exponent  $n$  we have by (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3)

$$\begin{array}{llll} 1) & n < 2, & J < 1, & h < 0, & \Delta\Phi < 0 \\ 2) & n = 2, & J = 1, & h = 0, & \Delta\Phi = 0 \\ 3) & n > 2, & J > 1, & h > 0, & \Delta\Phi > 0 \end{array}$$

Here on the strength of (2.5) the negative criteria are not satisfied, and periodic trajectories exist. This shows the essence of the negative criteria (2.5).

The author expresses his gratitude to V.V. Nemytskii for his attention and interest in this work.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Poincaré, A.O, O krivykh opredeliaemykh differentsial'nymi uravneniami (On curves determined by differential equations), Gostekhizdat, M-L. 1947.
2. Whittaker, E.T., Treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies. Dover 1944.
3. Bendixon, J., Sur les courbes définies par des équations différentielles. Acta Math., Vol. 24, pp. 1-88, 1901.
4. Minorsky, N., Modern trends in non-linear mechanics. Advances Appl. Mech., Vol. 1, 1948.
5. Dulac, H., Recherche des cycles limités. Comp. Rend. Vol. 204, No. 23, pp. 1703-1706, 1937.
6. Tkachev, V.F. and Tkachev, VI.F., O kriteriakh otsutstviia liubykh i kratnykh predel'nykh tsiklov (On the criteria for the absence of arbitrary multiple limiting cycles), Matem. Sb. novaia seriia, Vol. 52, No. 3, 1960.
7. Tkachev, V.F., Obobshchenie odnoi teoremy A. Poincaré ob otsutsvii predel'nykh ciklov (Generalization of the Poincaré theorem on the absence of limiting cycles). Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, Vol. 16, No. 5, 1961.
8. Belen'kii, I.M., Vvedenie v analiticheskuiu mekhaniku (Introduction to analytical mechanics). Izd. 'Vysshaia shkola', pp. 77-80, 1964.

Translated by T.L.